47

4/

1 BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF HARVEY HAASE (for Mrs. John 4 Haase), 5 Appellant, PCHB No. 768 6 v. FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND 7 ORDER STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY, 8 Respondent. 9 10 This matter was brought before the Pollution Control Hearings 11 Board through briefs submitted in lieu of hearing by Howard E. 12 Phillips, attorney for appellant and Wick Dufford, Assistant Attorney 13 General representing the Department of Ecology. Having reviewed the 14 relevant materials in this matter, the Board makes the following 15 FINDINGS OF FACT 16 I.

On September 14, 1964, application was made to the Division

of Water Resources, Department of Conservation, State of Washington,

EXHIBIT A

for a ground water permit to appropriate sixty gallons per minute for the irrigation of eighty acres of land in the Odessa Ground Water Sub-area. In the application, on the line "Name of Applicant," the name Harvey Haase was typed with ", for Mrs. John Haase" being hand printed. The signature of Harvey Haase appeared on the line "Signature of Applicant" while Mrs. John Haase's signature appeared only on the line "Signature of legal landowner." Section 10 of the application which inquired "what interest do you have in the above-described property?" identified the applicant as "lessee."

Mr. Harvey Haase was and is tenant in possession of the land.

€/

II.

Ground Water Permit No. 6867 was granted to "Harvey Haase for Mrs. John Haase" with a priority date of September 14, 1964 affixed.

III.

In a letter dated April 15, 1973, the Department of Ecology advised Mr. Haase that it would be necessary to install a measuring device in his well to accurately determine the amount of water being utilized under the permit. There was no response to the reminder of the requirement sent by the Department to Mr. Haase in December, 1973. Consequently, on April 30, 1974, an Order (Docket No. DE 74-153) was issued to "Mr. H. Haase" to cease and desist from the further withdrawal of ground water under Permit No. 6867 pending the installation of the required measuring device.

IV.

Presumably in response to the Department's Order, a flow meter report card, signed by Elsie Bartalamay, as Executrix of the Estate

7 | FINDINGS OF FACT,

of Lydia Haase deceased, was sent to the Department indicating that
the well was being used only for domestic and stockwater (the
Board assumes in disposing of this matter that Lydia Haase
and Mrs. John Haase are the same person.) On May 21, 1974, a
letter was sent to "Mrs. Haase" from the Department which read:

"If you no longer plan to irrigate said land, please complete and return the enclosed relinquishment form . . . If no irrigation is to be done from this well, no flow meter will be required."

On May 23, 1974, Elsie Bartalamay "as Executrix of the Estate of Lydia Haase, deceased" excuted a Relinquishment of Ground Water Rights under Permit No. 6867. The relinquishment clearly states that the signatory has "no further requirement for the rights to withdraw the water" and relinquishes all rights to the State of Washington. The relinquishment was notarized and forwarded for Mrs. Bartalamay by counsel for appellant. Upon receipt of the relinquishment, the Department of Ecology issued an Order of Cancellation for Permit No. 6867 on November 20, 1974.

v.

On December 4, 1974, Harvey Haase appealed the Order of Cancellation to the Pollution Control Hearings Board on the ground that Elsie Bartalamay had no knowledge of his intent to utilize the water for irrigation purposes and the relinquishment was filed in error. Appellant argued further that title to the land on which the well is located is held by nine individuals, in addition to Mrs. Haase's estate, none of whom had acquiesced in the relinquishment.

VI.

The Department of Ecology does not oppose the reinstatement of

the permit but questions its power to do so unilaterally. The issue presented to the Board is whether, under the facts of this case, the relinquishment can be considered a nullity and hence void.

VII.

Any Conclusion of Law hereinafter recited which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is herewith adopted as such.

From these Findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.

10

٠į į

Title rights in the land on which the well is located which existed either at the time the Permit or the Order of Cancellation was issued are not relevant to a determination of this matter. The Board cites with approval the Department of Ecology's interpretation of its statutory responsibilities with regard to ground water permits, 1.e., "It is the Department's position that ownership, right, title or any other interests in real property where the water source is located is not one of the factors that is to be considered in acting upon an application to appropriate public ground water." Rights to the ground water under a permit attach to the applicant for the permit who need not be the legal owner of the land.

II.

If the Board were to determine that Harvey Haase was the permittee of the right to withdraw water only as agent for Mrs. John Haase, then rights derived from such agency terminated upon the death of Mrs. In such event, there being no evidence in the record to the Haase. 27 FINDINGS OF FACT,

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

をおからないというないのできないからなるとうできます。

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 | contrary, Mrs. Bartalamay, as Executrix of Mrs. Haase's estate, alone would have had the authority to retain or despose of Mrs. Haase's rights as permittee.

1 4

III.

V.

The Board, however, concludes that under the facts of this case Mr. Harvey Haase's relationship to the permit constituted an agency coupled with an interest which survived the death of Mrs. Haase. Without the signature or acquiescence of Mr. Harvey Haase, therefore, the relinquishment submitted by Mrs. Bartalamay was invalid and the Department erred in issuing its Order of Cancellation.

IV.

Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such.

From these Conclusions, the Pollution Control Hearings Board enters this

ORDER

The Order of Cancellation of Permit No. 6867 issued by the Department of Ecology is vacated; Permit No. 6867 is reinstated with its priority date of September 14, 1964 retained. Mr. Harvey Haase is deemed to be the holder of the Permit for purposes of compliance with the Department of Ecology's applicable statutes and regulations.

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

DONE at Lacey, Washington this 14th day of Octo POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

· (